

Polarized parton distributions from charged-current deep-inelastic scattering and future neutrino factories

G. Ridolfi^a

^aINFN Sezione di Genova,
Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy

The capabilities of a neutrino factory in the determination of polarized parton distributions from charged-current deep-inelastic scattering experiments is discussed. We present a study of the accuracy in the determination of polarized parton distributions that would be possible with such a facility. We show that these measurements have the potential to distinguish between different theoretical scenarios for the proton spin structure.

Inclusive deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) experiments with polarized beams [1] only allow a measurement of C-even polarized parton distributions:

$$\Delta q^+ = \Delta q + \Delta \bar{q} \quad (1)$$

In this context, the determination of individual flavors is difficult because of the weak sensitivity to the polarized strange quark density Δs ; quark-antiquark separation, on the other hand, is entirely impossible. No polarized charged-current experiment has been performed so far. Given the intensity of presently available neutrino beams, it would be impossible to build a polarized target of the needed size. Charged-current DIS with electron or muon beams, on the other hand, would require a very high energy, since the exchange of weak vector bosons is involved (this would be a possibility for HERA with polarized beams).

An interesting possibility is offered by intense neutrino beams [2] arising from the decays of muons along straight sections of the accumulator of a muon storage ring. A facility of this kind would allow an accurate decomposition of the partonic content of the nucleon in terms of individual (spin-averaged and spin-dependent) flavor densities.

The hadronic tensor relevant for charged-current polarized DIS can be parametrized in terms of five polarized structure functions g_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$ (see ref. [3] for details). Two of them (g_2 and g_3 in the conventions of ref. [3]) give no

contribution when the nucleon mass is neglected with respect to the hard scale Q^2 . Furthermore, g_4 and g_5 are not independent of each other because of a Callan-Gross relation. Therefore, there are only two independent polarized structure functions at leading twist (we will choose g_1 and g_5).

We assume that both ν and $\bar{\nu}$ beams will be available, and therefore that all four structure functions $g_1^{W^\pm}, g_5^{W^\pm}$ will be measured. Under this assumption, it can be shown [3] that, taking suitable combinations of structure functions for different targets, and combining measurements below and above the charm threshold, a complete separation of all four flavors and antiflavors is possible.

No extra information is provided by neutral-current structure function measurements. However, they allow to perform consistency checks. In particular, a direct measurement of the singlet quark combination $\Delta\Sigma^+ = \Delta u^+ + \Delta d^+ + \Delta s^+$ is an interesting and independent check of present indirect information. In fact, the first moment of $\Delta\Sigma^+$ can be directly measured in neutral-current DIS only assuming the knowledge of non-singlet first moments from other experiments. All other moments can only be inferred from the study of scaling violations.

A next-to-leading order analysis in perturbative QCD is possible: coefficient functions to order α_s and splitting function to order α_s^2 are

known since long time [4]. A full NLO evolution code for data analysis has been built [3], based on previous work for neutral-current structure functions [5].

The C-odd combinations of polarized quark densities,

$$\Delta q^- = \Delta q - \Delta \bar{q}, \quad (2)$$

are at present almost completely unknown. Some information on their first moments is provided by semi-inclusive experiments [6], which indicate that $|\Delta \bar{q}| \ll |\Delta q^+|$ for the two light flavors u and d .

Positivity of cross sections requires, at leading order,

$$|\Delta q| \leq q \quad |\Delta \bar{q}| \leq \bar{q} \quad (3)$$

for each individual flavor. Next-to-leading corrections to these relations can be shown to be of the order of a few percent. Using our present knowledge on Δq^+ , it can be shown that, for $q = u, d$, the assumption $\Delta q^- = 0$ (or $\Delta \bar{q} = \Delta q$) is incompatible with the positivity bound in the large- x region. In the case of polarized s , both $\Delta \bar{s} = 0$ and $\Delta \bar{s} = \Delta s$ are instead allowed.

A central issue in physics of polarized nucleons is to explain the unexpected smallness of the axial charge

$$a_0(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \left(\Delta \Sigma^+ - \frac{n_f \alpha_s}{2\pi} \Delta g \right), \quad (4)$$

where Δg is the polarized gluon distribution. Present data indicate that a_0 is compatible with zero, but values as large as $a_0(10 \text{ GeV}^2) = 0.3$ are not excluded. Different theoretical scenarios have been proposed. In one of them, it is assumed that a cancellation between a large (scale-independent, or AB-scheme) $\Delta \Sigma^+$ and a large Δg takes place. In this case, $|\Delta u^+|, |\Delta d^+| \gg |\Delta s^+|$ (in the AB-scheme), as expected in the quark model. This is usually called the ‘anomaly’ scenario. Alternatively, one may assume that Δg is indeed small, and that Δs^+ is large and negative. This might be explained by invoking non-perturbative, instanton-like vacuum configuration (‘instanton’ scenario). In this case, $\Delta s = \Delta \bar{s}$. In the ‘skyrmion’ scenario, Δs^+ large, but Δs is significantly different from $\Delta \bar{s}$.

Future experiments in polarized DIS will aim at establishing with the best possible precision how small is the axial charge, and how large is the polarized gluon distribution. Furthermore, it will be important to understand whether Δs is large, compared to the light flavor distributions, and how different it is from $\Delta \bar{s}$. In the following we will explore the potential of a neutrino factory in answering these questions. The parameters of a machine of this kind are still under study; to be definite, we will consider the case of a neutrino beam generated by a muon beam with energy $E_\mu = 50 \text{ GeV}$ and number of muon decays per year $N_\mu = 10^{20}$.

How accurately will charged-current structure functions be measured at a ν factory? The various structure functions can be extracted from the data exploiting the different y dependence of each component. In this respect, the wide-band nature of the ν beam is of great help. In fact, since

$$y = \frac{Q^2}{2xmE_\nu}, \quad (5)$$

one can have data points at different values of y in the same x, Q^2 bin, by varying E_ν . The measurement of μ and hadronic recoil energies allows an event-by-event reconstruction of x , y and Q^2 (we adopt here the usual notation for DIS: $\nu(k) + N(p) \rightarrow \mu(k') + X$, $Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2$, $x = Q^2/(2pq)$, $y = qk/pk$).

In order to estimate the impact of charged-current DIS data from a ν factory on our knowledge of the proton spin structure, we adopted the following procedure.

1. We have computed the expected errors on the measurements of g_1 and g_5 in a region of the x, Q^2 plane compatible with the features of the device. With the parameter choice of ref. [3], this corresponds to about $0.01 \leq x \leq 0.7$ and $1 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 100 \text{ GeV}^2$.
2. We have performed new fits of existing neutral-current data. The resulting values for

$$\eta_\Sigma = \int_0^1 dx (\Delta u^+ + \Delta d^+ + \Delta s^+) \quad (6)$$

$$\eta_3 = \int_0^1 dx (\Delta u^+ - \Delta d^+) \quad (7)$$

$$\eta_8 = \int_0^1 dx (\Delta u^+ + \Delta d^+ - 2\Delta s^+) \quad (8)$$

$$\eta_g = \int_0^1 dx \Delta g \quad (9)$$

and the axial charge a_0 at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ are shown in table 1. Note that we have also performed a fit with η_g , the first moment of the gluon distribution at $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, forced to zero. This fit has a higher χ^2 , but once theoretical uncertainties are taken into account a vanishing gluon distribution can only be excluded at about two standard deviations. The last three rows in table 1 show the values of the first moments of Δq^+ at $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ for $q = u, d, s$.

3. We have produced sets of “fake” data for charged-current structure functions according to three different assumptions:

- (a) generic fit of table 1 and $\Delta \bar{s} = 0$ (anomaly scenario);
- (b) $\eta_g = 0$ fit of table 1 and $\Delta \bar{s} = \Delta s$ (instanton scenario);
- (c) $\eta_g = 0$ fit of table 1 and $\Delta \bar{s} = 0$ (skyrmion scenario).

In all three cases, we have fixed $\Delta \bar{u} = \Delta \bar{d} = 0$, consistently with the indications of semi-inclusive DIS data, and with positivity constraints. The fake data were then gaussianly distributed about their central values, with the errors determined at step 1. Data with $x > 0.7$ and/or error larger than 50 were discarded (the structure functions being typically of order 1). In this way, a total of 8 sets of fake data (g_1 and g_5 with ν and $\bar{\nu}$ beams and proton or deuteron targets) were generated, with ~ 70 data points in each set (the neutral-current real data correspond to 176 points).

4. We have performed a global fit of real and fake data. The results are shown in table 2. The rows labelled η_u , η_d and η_s now give

the best-fit values and errors on the first moments of Δq^- for u, d, s .

Comparing the values of table 2 with those of our original fits leads to an assessment of the impact of charged-current data on our knowledge of the polarized parton content of the nucleon. As announced, the precision on the singlet quark first moment is very significantly improved by the charged-current data: the error on the first moment of $\Delta \Sigma^+$ is now of a few percent in comparison to about 10% with neutral-current DIS. With this accuracy, the ‘anomaly’ scenario can be experimentally distinguished from other scenarios, at the level of several standard deviations. Correspondingly, the improvement in the precision on the gluon first moment is sufficient to distinguish between the two scenarios.

The determination of the singlet axial charge is improved by an amount comparable to the improvement in the determination of the singlet quark first moment. It will be possible to establish at the level of a few percent whether the axial charge differs from zero or not. The determination of the isotriplet axial charge is also significantly improved: the improvement is comparable to that on the singlet quark. This would allow an extremely precise test of the Bjorken sum rule, and an accurate determination of the strong coupling. Finally, the octet C-even combination is now also determined with an uncertainty of a few percent. Therefore, the strange C-even component can be determined with an accuracy which is better than 10%. Comparing this direct determination of the octet axial charge to the value obtained from baryon decays would allow a test of different existing models of SU(3) violation.

Let us now consider the results for the first moments of the C-odd distributions. We see that in the case of u and d quarks they can be measured with an accuracy of a few percent, just sufficient to establish whether the up and down antiquark distributions, which are constrained by positivity to be quite small, differ from zero, and whether they are equal to each other or not. Furthermore, the strange C-odd component can be determined at a level of about 10%, which is enough to distinguish between the two cases $\Delta s^- \sim 0$ and

Table 1

Fits to neutral-current polarized DIS data. In the second column, the first moment of the polarized gluon density at $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ is fixed to zero.

	generic fit	$\eta_g = 0$ fit
η_Σ	0.38 ± 0.03	0.31 ± 0.01
η_g	0.79 ± 0.19	0
η_3	1.110 ± 0.043	1.039 ± 0.029
η_8	0.579	0.579
η_u	0.777	0.719
η_d	-0.333	-0.321
η_s	-0.067	-0.090
a_0	0.183 ± 0.030	0.284 ± 0.012

Table 2

Best-fit values of the first moments for the real and fake data and fits discussed in the text.

	‘anomaly’ refit	‘instanton’ refit	‘skyrmion’ refit
η_Σ	0.39 ± 0.01	0.321 ± 0.006	0.324 ± 0.008
η_g	0.86 ± 0.10	0.20 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.08
η_3	1.097 ± 0.006	1.052 ± 0.013	1.066 ± 0.014
η_8	0.557 ± 0.011	0.572 ± 0.013	0.580 ± 0.012
η_u	0.764 ± 0.006	0.722 ± 0.010	0.728 ± 0.009
η_d	-0.320 ± 0.008	-0.320 ± 0.009	-0.325 ± 0.009
η_s	-0.075 ± 0.008	-0.007 ± 0.007	-0.106 ± 0.008
a_0	0.183 ± 0.013	0.255 ± 0.006	0.250 ± 0.007

$\Delta s^- \sim \Delta s^+$. The ‘instanton’ and ‘skyrmion’ scenarios can thus also be distinguished at the level of several standard deviations.

In conclusion, we have presented a review of the NLO formalism for charged-current polarized DIS, and its numerical implementation. We have described present experimental and theoretical constraints on the C-odd polarized quark distributions, and we have explored the capabilities of a neutrino factory in the study of polarized DIS. We have shown that with such a facility the first moments of C-even distributions can be measured with accuracies which are up to one order of magnitude better than the current uncertainties. This would allow a definitive confirmation or refutation of the ‘anomaly’ scenario for the proton spin structure, compared to the ‘instanton’ or ‘skyrmion’ scenarios. A test of models of SU(3) violation would also be possible.

The first moments of the C-odd distributions Δu^- , Δd^- can be determined at the level of few percent, while Δs^- could only be measured at

the 10% level. This would be sufficient to test for intrinsic strangeness, and distinguish between ‘skyrmion’ and ‘instanton’ scenarios.

Determining the shapes of the distributions is a more difficult task. The precision on the shapes of the combinations of structure functions that correspond to individual flavor distributions at leading order is reasonably good, but their determination beyond leading order is severely limited by the uncertainty on the shape of the gluon distribution. It turns out that $\Delta u(x)$ and $\Delta d(x)$ could only be measured with a precision around 15-20%, while no significant shape information can be obtained for $\Delta s(x)$.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank S. Forte for interesting discussions on the subject of this talk.

REFERENCES

1. G. Ridolfi, *Nucl. Phys.* **A666** (2000) 278; R.D. Ball and H.A. M. Tallini, *J. Phys.* **G25** (1999) 1327; S. Forte, [hep-ph/9409416](#), [hep-ph/9610238](#); E.W. Hughes and R. Voss, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **49** (1999) 303; R. Windmolders, [hep-ph/9905505](#).
2. M. L. Mangano et al., *Physics at the front-end of a neutrino factory: a quantitative appraisal*, QCD/DIS Working Group, to appear in the Report of the CERN/ECFA Neutrino Factory Study Group, [hep-ph/0105155](#)
3. S. Forte, M. Mangano and G. Ridolfi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B602** (2001) 585, [hep-ph/0101192](#).
4. D. de Florian and R. Sassot, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 6052; R. Mertig and W. L. van Neerven, *Z. Phys.* **C70** (1996) 637; W. Vogelsang, *Phys. Rep.* **D54** (1996) 2023, *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 47.
5. G. Altarelli, R.D. Ball, S. Forte and G. Ridolfi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B496** (1997) 337; *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B29** (1998) 1145.
6. SMC Coll., B. Adeva et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B420** (1998) 180; HERMES Coll., K. Ackerstaff et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B464** (1999) 123.